Members Present: Jay Currie, Katie Gandhi, Michael Geneser, Paul Hanley, Barbara Mooney, Claire Sponsler, Emily Starr

Others Present: Joe Bilotta, Adam Cannon, Bret Gothe (UI Strategic Communications), Sadie Greiner, Don Guckert, Eric Holthaus, Kevin Kregel, James Phelps (OPN), Dave Ricketts, Jacob Varvel (IMU Marketing)

Call to Order: Barbara Mooney called the meeting to order.

Previous Minutes: Minutes of the September 4, 2015, CPC meeting were approved as distributed.

ACTION / DISCUSSION ITEM(S):

BigBelly Solar Containers at IMU

Eric Holthaus (UI Sustainability Coordinator) and Brett Gothe (IMU Marketing Director) presented a proposal for colorful display wrappings to be placed on three BigBelly trash/recycling containers that the IMU is piloting. The containers would be placed at the east, south and west entrances to the IMU and would display information principally related to recycling and sustainability.

The committee reviewed examples used by UNI and ISU that illustrated a range of options. The ensuing discussion included CPC’s role to address campus appearance versus the effectiveness of campus recycling efforts; the appeal the proposed graphics has on the current generation of students; the similarities of this proposal with the many other rejected/approved display requests from various campus departments; and the duration for the proposed displays.

The committee requested a toned down version be brought back for further consideration.

Daum Hall – Repair Soffits and Canopy

Adam Cannon (Facilities Management Project Manager) and James Phelps (Rohrbach Associates Architect) presented a proposal for altering the entrance canopy and sunshades for Daum Hall. The current canopy is in disrepair and the project team is proposing maintaining the current saw-tooth design by wrapping the concrete structure in metal and hiding the drain piping behind the metal and widened front columns. The sunshades structures on the sides of the building would be replaced with a “lighter” structural design that would respect the original architecture.

The committee supported of the design changes, but questioned the proposal to maintain the turquoise color on the canopy. The committee recommended that the project team select a color that is more contemporary and consistent and compatible with the surrounding environment and return for final review.

WORK SESSION REPORTS

None